

10 December 2001

PHYSICS LETTERS A

Physics Letters A 291 (2001) 232-236

www.elsevier.com/locate/pla

Single-pulse preparation of the uniform superpositional state used in quantum algorithms

G.P. Berman^a, F. Borgonovi^{b,c,*}, F.M. Izrailev^d, V.I. Tsifrinovich^e

^a T-13 and CNLS, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87545, USA

^b Dipartimento di Matematica e Fisica, Università Cattolica, via Musei 41, 25121 Brescia, Italy ^c INFN, Sezione di Pavia and INFM, Unità di Brescia, Brescia, Italy

^d Instituto de Fisica, Universidad Autonoma de Puebla, Apdo. Postal J-48, Puebla 72570, Mexico ^e IDS Department, Polytechnic University, Six Metrotech Center, Brooklyn, NY 11201, USA

Received 15 May 2001; received in revised form 31 October 2001; accepted 2 November 2001 Communicated by A.R. Bishop

Abstract

We examine a single-pulse preparation of the uniform superpositional wave function, which includes all basis states, in a spin quantum computer. The effective energy spectrum and the errors generated by this pulse are studied in detail. We show that, in spite of the finite width of the energy spectrum bands, amplitude and phase errors can be made reasonably small. © 2001 Published by Elsevier Science B.V.

PACS: 03.67.Lx; 03.67.-a; 76.60.-k

1. Both the Shor and the Grover quantum algorithms begin with the preparation of a uniform superposition of the basis states. In Shor's algorithm, it is a superposition in the *x*-register for the modular exponentiation: $a^{x} \pmod{N}$. For Grover's algorithm, it is a superposition of all possible entries of the unsorted data. In the language of computer science, the transformation of the ground state of the *L*-qubit register,

$$|0_{L-1}0_{L-2}\dots 0_10_0\rangle,$$
 (1)

into the uniform superposition of all possible basic states,

$$\Psi_{\text{unif}} = \frac{1}{2^{L/2}} \sum |n_{L-1}n_{L-2}\dots n_1n_0\rangle \quad (n_k = 0, 1),$$
(2)

Corresponding author.

E-mail address: f.borgonovi@dmf.bs.unicatt.it (F. Borgonovi).

is provided by the Hadamard transformation [1]. In physical systems, this transformation can be implemented, for example, using three different methods:

- (1) by a selected $\pi/2$ -pulse excitation of each qubit,
- by non-selective excitation of all qubits using a single π/2-pulse,
- (3) by using complicated composite pulses.

The first method could be used, for example, for a chain of spins connected by the Ising interaction. Unfortunately, in this case non-resonant effects disturb the uniform superposition. The reason is that a $\pi/2$ pulse acts not only on the chosen resonant spin but also on all other spins [2]. Besides, this method requires the application of *L* pulses. The second method requires only a single $\pi/2$ -pulse and is currently used in developed statistical ensemble quantum computation [3–5].

^{0375-9601/01/\$ –} see front matter $\hfill \ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2001 Published by Elsevier Science B.V. PII: S0375-9601(01)00739-3

The third method has a potential to reduce the errors in the uniform superposition of the basic states. However it demands much longer pulses compare to a non-selective excitation. That is why it is important to analyze the opportunity of reducing errors in the scope of the second (non-selective) method, which provides the minimum possible time for the creation of the uniform superposition of the basic states.

In this Letter, we analyze the errors generated by the single-pulse non-selective excitation of the Ising spin chain.

First we discuss the Hamiltonian of the system, then the effective energy spectrum in the rotating reference frame and, finally, the error generated by a $\pi/2$ -pulse. We present the results of numerical simulation of a chain which includes 10 spins. We show that, in spite of the finite width of the energy spectrum bands, the amplitude and phase errors can be made acceptably small.

2. Consider a chain of spin 1/2 nuclei described by the operators I_k . Assume that these spins have slightly different Larmor frequencies, ω_k and are connected by Ising interactions.

This can be realized, for instance, with a suitable gradient of the magnetic field along the chain axis, in such a way that $\omega_k = \omega_k + \text{const.}$ We will refer to such kind of picture as "effect" due to the inhomogeneous magnetic field.

In a liquid NMR quantum computation, one utilizes a statistical ensemble of such chains [3–5]. To prepare a uniform superposition (2), a $\pi/2$ -pulse must be polarized along the (-y)-axis of the rotating reference frame (if initially the nuclear spins point in the positive *z*-direction). The Hamiltonian of the system in the rotating frame is, for $\hbar = 1$ [2],

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{k=0}^{L-1} \left[-(\omega_k - \omega)I_k^z + \Omega I_k^y \right] - 2J \sum_{k=0}^{L-2} I_k^z I_{k+1}^z, \quad (3)$$

where, Ω is the amplitude of the pulse in the frequency units (the Rabi frequency), ω is the frequency of the pulse, and J is the constant of the Ising interaction. In the non-selective regime to choose the values of parameters, we assume that the following inequalities are satisfied for our spin quantum computer,

$$J \ll |\omega_{k+1} - \omega_k| \ll \Omega \ll \omega_k. \tag{4}$$

Assuming $J/2\pi \sim 0.1$ kHz, $(\omega_{k+1} - \omega_k)/2\pi \sim 1$ kHz, $\omega_k/2\pi \sim 100$ MHz, we shall consider values of $\Omega/2\pi$ up to 10 MHz.

3. Next, we shall discuss the effective energy spectrum described by the Hamiltonian (3). To understand the behavior of the energy spectrum, we first analyze a system containing two spins only. When the inhomogeneity and the Ising interaction are absent, the energy spectrum consists of three lines: $E_0 = -\Omega$, $E_1 = 0$, and $E_2 = \Omega$. The first level corresponds to the state, $|00\rangle_{-y}$, where the index "-y" indicates that both spins point in the (-y)-direction ((-y)-representation). The twice degenerate level, E_1 , corresponds to the states, $|01\rangle_{-\nu}$ and $|10\rangle_{-\nu}$, and the energy level E_2 , refers to the state $|11\rangle_{-\nu}$. First, we consider the effect of inhomogeneity when the Ising interaction is absent. Assume, for example, that $\omega = \omega_0$ and $\omega_1 = \omega_0 + \Delta \omega$. Then, the effective field for one spin in the frequency units is, $\Omega_{\rm eff} = \sqrt{\Omega^2 + \Delta \omega^2}$. The energy levels are given by the expressions,

$$E_{0} = \frac{-(\Omega + \Omega_{\text{eff}})}{2}, \qquad E_{1} = \frac{\Omega - \Omega_{\text{eff}}}{2},$$
$$E_{1}' = \frac{\Omega_{\text{eff}} - \Omega}{2}, \qquad E_{2} = \frac{\Omega + \Omega_{\text{eff}}}{2}.$$
(5)

The main effect is the splitting of the central line into two lines with the energy separation,

$$\Delta E = E' - E \approx \frac{(\Delta \omega)^2}{2\Omega}$$

Let us stress the importance of the $1/\Omega$ dependence for ΔE .

Let us consider the error generated by a nonresonant spin. If the initial state of this spin is $|0\rangle_z$, then with linear accuracy, $\Delta \omega / \Omega$, the wave function of the spin, $\Psi(t)$, can be written as,

$$\Psi(t) = \left[\cos(\Omega t/2) + i(\Delta \omega/\Omega)\sin(\Omega t/2)\right]|0\rangle_z + \sin(\Omega t/2)|1\rangle_z.$$

After a $\pi/2$ -pulse ($\Omega t = \pi/2$), we have:

$$\Psi\left(\frac{\pi}{2\Omega}\right) \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(e^{i\,\Delta\omega/\Omega}\,|0\rangle_z + |1\rangle_z\right).$$

Thus, in first order in $\Delta \omega / \Omega$, the inhomogeneity generates only a phase error which decreases as $1/\Omega$.

Now, we consider the effect of the Ising interaction when the inhomogeneity is absent. At first sight, the Ising interaction produces an additional "effective field" in the z-direction, and its influence on the energy spectrum must also decrease as Ω increases.

In this case the ground state energy is given by $E_0 = -\sqrt{\Omega^2 + (J/2)^2}$. The central energy splits into two levels $\pm J/2$, which correspond to symmetric and antisymmetric superpositions of the states $|01\rangle_{-y}$ and $|10\rangle_{-y}$, and $E_2 = -E_0$. When the Rabi frequency, Ω , increases, the influence of the Ising interaction on the energy levels, E_0 and E_2 decreases. But the splitting between the central energy levels does not change as Ω increases: $\Delta E_1 = J$. One might expect that this splitting will generate an error which does not decrease as Ω increases. Fortunately, it does not happen. If both spins point initially in the positive *z*-direction, the wave function, $\Psi(t)$, can be written as,

$$\Psi(t) \approx \frac{1}{2} \Big[e^{i\Omega t} |00\rangle_{-y} - e^{-i\Omega t} |11\rangle_{-y} + i e^{iJt/2} \Big(|01\rangle_{-y} + |10\rangle_{-y} \Big) \Big],$$

where we neglected the terms $\sim (J/\Omega)^2$. At the end of the $\pi/2$ -pulse, we have,

$$\Psi\left(\frac{\pi}{2\Omega}\right) \approx \frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|00\rangle_{-y} + |11\rangle_{-y} + e^{i\pi J/4\Omega} \left(|01\rangle_{-y} + |10\rangle_{-y} \right) \right].$$

For J = 0, we have a uniform superposition of the basis states (in both (-y)- and *z*-representations). For $J \neq 0$, to first order in J/Ω , the Ising interaction (similar to the inhomogeneity) generates only a phase error which decreases as $1/\Omega$. The non-vanishing bandwidth, $\Delta E_1 = J$, does not cause a non-vanishing error. This happens because the phase error is proportional to the duration of a $\pi/2$ -pulse which is proportional to $1/\Omega$.

4. Next, we present the results of numerical simulations with L = 10 qubits. These simulations require operations on a digital computer in the Hilbert space with dimension $D = 2^{10} = 1024$. Numerical results have been obtained by computing the wave function after one $\pi/2$ -pulse via the eigenvalues and eigenvectors from Hamiltonian (3).



Fig. 1. The energy spectrum as a function of Ω for J = 0.1, L = 10, $\omega_k - \omega = k - 4.5$.

If the Ising interaction and the inhomogeneity of the frequencies, ω_k , are both absent, the energy spectrum of the Hamiltonian (3) consists of 11 equidistant levels separated by gaps with value Ω . Both the Ising interaction and the inhomogeneity cause splitting of all inner levels. This leads to formation of energy bands.

Fig. 1 shows the energy spectrum as a function of Ω , for the following values of parameters,

$$\omega_{k+1} - \omega_k = 1$$
 (k = 0, ..., 9),
J = 0.1, $\omega_k - \omega = k - 4.5$.

The last equation means that the frequency ω of a $\pi/2$ -pulse is equal to the average Larmor frequency, $\langle \omega_k \rangle = (1/10) \sum_{k=0}^{9} \omega_k$. For each Ω , there are 1024 energy levels. At the scale shown in Fig. 1, each band is represented by a point.

In fact, each band has a complicated structure. As an example, Fig. 2 shows the structure of a narrow strip of the central band as a function of Ω . Fig. 3 (left) shows the dependence of the width of the central band, ΔE_6 , on Ω (in the logarithmic scale). When the Ising interaction between spins is absent (circles in Fig. 3), ΔE_6 decreases approximately as $1/\Omega$. A finite Ising interaction (crosses in Fig. 3) changes this picture. After the width of the band caused by the inhomogeneity decreases to the value of approximately 6J (at $\Omega \approx 50$), its value does not decrease. This dependence of ΔE_6 on Ω is qualitatively similar to the results discussed above for



Fig. 2. The structure of a narrow strip of the central band shown in Fig. 1.



Fig. 3. Widths ΔE_6 , (left) ΔE_4 (right) of the 6th (central) and the 4th band vs. Ω . Open circles are for J = 0, crosses for J = 0.1. Dashed lines are the best fit to A/Ω (circles) where A = 28.466 (left) and A = 23.673 (right).

two spins. Fig. 3 (right) shows similar dependence for the the width of the 4th band, ΔE_4 .

Now we consider the errors generated in the process of preparation of a uniform superposition of the basis states, Ψ_{unif} .

In the rotating frame, the spin dynamics can be described as a superposition of stationary solutions with constant coefficients, which can be found from the initial conditions. In the absence of both the Ising interaction between spins and the inhomogeneity, a $\pi/2$ pulse applied to the ground state, $|0\rangle \equiv |0_{L-1}...0_0\rangle_z$,



Fig. 4. Dependence on Ω of the maximal (open circles) and average errors (full circles) for the amplitude modulus, $|A_n|$, on Ω . The full line is the best fit, $0.2787/\Omega^2$, while the dashed is $0.0953/\Omega^2$. Other parameters are the same as in Fig. 1.



Fig. 5. Dependence of the maximal (open circles) and average errors (full circles) for the phase errors $|\phi_n|$, on Ω . Full line is the best fit 13.0216/ Ω , while dashed is 3.6606/ Ω . Other data are the same as in Fig. 1.

generates a uniform superposition of all 2^L basic states $|n\rangle$ with the amplitudes $1/\sqrt{2^L}$.

To describe the error in the complex amplitude,

$$A_n \equiv \langle n | \psi(\pi/2\Omega) \rangle = \langle n | e^{-iH\pi/2\Omega} \psi(0) \rangle = |A_n| e^{i\Phi_n},$$

we use two quantities: (1) $\eta = |2^{-L/2} - |A_n||$ which describes the error of the amplitude modulus, $|A_n|$, and (2) the phase modulus, $|\Phi_n|$, which describes the phase error (as $\Phi_n = 0$ in the ideal case). Fig. 4 shows the dependencies of the maximal error, η_{max} and the average error, η_{ave} , on the Rabi frequency, Ω . One can see that both quantities decrease approximately as



Fig. 6. The distribution of the complex amplitudes, A_n , in the complex plane for $\Omega = 10^3$ (left) and $\Omega = 10^4$ (right). Other parameters are the same as in Fig. 1.

 $1/\Omega^2$. Fig. 5 shows similar dependencies of the phase error on Ω . Both, $|\Phi|_{max}$ and $|\Phi|_{ave}$ decrease approximately as $1/\Omega$. This corresponds to the results derived above for two spins. Note that for parameters we chose (which correspond to $J \ll \Delta \omega$), the main contribution to the error depends on the inhomogeneity. The plots presented in Figs. 4 and 5 do not change significantly when J = 0. Fig. 6 shows the distribution of the complex amplitudes, A_n , in the complex plane for $\Omega = 10^3$ and $\Omega = 10^4$. One can see that the distribution of A_n has a form of the arc whose length and width decrease as Ω increases.

5. Hamiltonian (3) belongs to the so-called quantum non-integrable systems. This means that, treated classically, these systems exhibit chaotic behavior for some range of parameters and initial conditions. (See, for example, [6] and references therein.) The quantum properties of classically chaotic systems can be investigated by a detailed analysis of their eigenvalues and eigenfunctions [7]. Chaotic borders have been analyzed in details in [8].

We would like to stress here that chaos border does not influence both amplitude and phase errors. Indeed time evolution is very short in comparison with nearest neighbor energy level spacing inside the energy band.

In conclusion, we investigated the errors generated by a single-pulse implementation of the Hadamard transformation for a chain of spins connected by the Ising interaction. In the rotating reference frame, the interaction between spins and the inhomogeneity of the Larmor frequencies split the energy levels into the bands. The characteristic width of the band caused by the inhomogeneity decreases as $1/\Omega$ as the Rabi frequency, Ω , increases. The contribution to the band widths due to the Ising interaction between spins remains constant. In spite of this fact, errors generated in the process of preparation of the uniform wave function decrease monotonically as Ω increases. When the Rabi frequency, Ω , increases, the errors of the amplitude modulus, $|A_n|$, decrease as $1/\Omega^2$. The phase errors decrease only as $1/\Omega$. For reasonable values of Ω , the errors of the amplitude's modulus, $|A_n|$, become negligible. The phase error can be reduced to the order of 10^{-5} rad. Thus, both the errors caused by the inhomogeneity and the Ising interaction between spins can be made reasonably small, for a single-pulse generation of the superpositional wave function used in the main quantum algorithms.

Acknowledgements

The work of G.P.B. and V.I.T. was supported by the Department of Energy (DOE) under contract W-7405-ENG-36, by the National Security Agency (NSA) and Advanced Research and Development Activity (ARDA). F.B. acknowledges financial support from INFN and INFM.

References

- A.O. Pittenger, An Introduction to Quantum Computing Algorithms, Progress in Computer Science and Applied Logic, Vol. 19, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1999.
- [2] G.P. Berman, G.D. Doolen, R. Mainieri, V.I. Tsifrinovich, Introduction to Quantum Computers, World Scientific Publishing Company, 1998.
- [3] D.G. Cory, A.F. Fahmy, T.F. Havel, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 94 (1997) 1634.
- [4] I.L. Chuang, N.A. Gershefeld, M. Kubinec, Phys. Rev. Lett. 80 (1998) 3408.
- [5] N.A. Gershenfeld, I.L. Chuang, Science 275 (1997) 350.
- [6] L.E. Reichl, The Transition to Chaos, Springer, 1992.
- [7] F.M. Izrailev, Phys. Rep. 196 (1990) 299.
- [8] G.P. Berman, F. Borgonovi, F.M. Izrailev, V.I. Tsifrinovich, Phys. Rev. E 64 (2001) 56226.