

GEOMETRIC STRUCTURE AND CONVEX DECOMPOSITION OF U-POLYGONS

Paolo Dulio

In a given space Y , the uniqueness problem of Geometric Tomography asks for the minimum number of radiographies needed for the unique determination of a geometric object in Y . Usually the problem is addressed to the class \mathcal{C}_Y of all convex bodies of Y . A crucial step is to highlight geometric structures linked to possible ambiguities in the reconstruction process.

If radiographies are performed through parallel X -rays in a set U of directions, the so called *U-polygons* come out, which are the convex counterpart of more general structures called *switching components*. Understanding their geometric structure often allow uniqueness results to be achieved. There are some geometric parameters which can help in such an investigation. For instance, in the Euclidean plane \mathbb{R}^2 , no U -polygons exist if U consists of 4 directions with transcendental cross-ratio ρ . This means that such a set of four directions uniquely determines a convex body in $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{R}^2}$ ([4]). The same is true for the class $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{Z}^2}$ if $|U| = 7$, or $|U| = 4$ and $\rho \notin \{2, 3, 4\}$, up to a reordering of the directions (see [3], and also [2] where a further geometric parameter is considered, namely the *class* of a U -polygon). Also, the presence of symmetry seems to be deeply related to the spread of ambiguities in the reconstruction processes. In this direction an important result has been achieved in [5], where switching components are characterized as the linear combination of switching element. When U -polygons are considered the role of convexity does not appear immediately. This is obtained in [1], where an alternative geometric approach leads to a kind of convex counterpart of the above characterization

References

- (1) Dulio P., *Convex decomposition of U-polygons*, submitted.
- (2) Dulio P.- Peri C., *On the geometric structure of lattice U-polygons*, Discrete Math. 307/19-20 (2007), 2330-2340.
- (3) R. J. Gardner and P. Grizmann, Discrete tomography: Determination of finite sets by X-rays, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **349** (1997), 2271-2295.
- (4) R.J. Gardner and P. McMullen, On Hammer's X -ray problem, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **21** (1980), 171-175.
- (5) L. Hajdu and R. Tijdeman, Algebraic aspects of discrete tomography, *J. reine angew. Math* **534** (2001), 119-128.