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Abstract – Anderson localization is a paradigmatic coherence effect in disordered systems, often analyzed in the absence of dissipation. Here we consider the case of coherent dissipation, occurring for open system with coupling to a common decay channel. This dissipation induces cooperative Dicke super- and subradiance and an effective long-range hopping, expected to destroy Anderson localization. We are thus in the presence of two competing effects, i.e. localization driven by disorder and delocalization driven by dissipative opening. Here we demonstrate the existence of a subradiant hybrid regime, emerging from the interplay of opening and disorder, in which subradiant states are hybrid with both features of localized and extended states, while superradiant states are extended. We also provide analytical predictions for this regime, confirmed by numerical simulations.

Introduction. – One of the most interesting effect induced by quantum coherence is Anderson localization [1]. This effect is relevant for many areas of physics, quantum computing [2], cold atoms [3], light harvesting systems [4], mesoscopic devices [5,6]. Initial search of experimental evidence of Anderson localization for non-interacting waves in 3 dimensions has been limited by the presence of absorption [7]. Recent progress has however been obtained in a large variety of fields of research, including acoustic waves [8], light waves [9], matter waves [10].

Whereas absorption and dissipation are often considered to be limiting phenomena for observing Anderson localization, the situation of opening with corresponding coherent dissipation has not been systematically addressed. This situation has been considered in a different research community [4,11], investing cooperative effect induced by coupling to a common decay channel, following the pioneering work by Dicke in 1954 [12]. The question whether localization can survive coherent dissipation in open 3D systems has been discussed in particular in the case of resonant light scattering [3,13].

In this letter we focus on the case of coherent dissipation due to the coupling to a common decay channel, relevant for many realistic situations [3,6,14]. If this coupling is strong enough, dissipation induces a well-known coherent effect: Dicke super- and subradiance [12], which induces the so-called Superradiant Transition (ST), driven by the opening, i.e. by the coupling to an external environment characterized by a continuum of states. This transition should be compared, on the other hand, with the Anderson localization, driven by intrinsic local disorder which consists in the suppression of diffusion due to exponential localization of the eigenfunctions of the system.

Both Dicke superradiance and Anderson localization have been widely studied in the literature in a separate way, and their interplay has been poorly analyzed. In order to highlight the fundamental aspects of this interplay, we study a simple but general model: the 3D Anderson model [1]. A related 1D model, without a metal-insulator transition, has been already considered in ref. [15]. A common feature of disordered systems with coherent dissipation is the competition between long-range hopping induced by opening and localization induced by disorder. It is commonly accepted that any kind of long-range hopping, decaying slower than \(1/r^d\), where \(d\) is the system dimension, destroys localization [1]. On the contrary, here we show that the very correlated nature of long-range hopping due to the opening
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induces a ST which allows to preserve some feature of localization.

Dicke superradiance occurs in the large opening regime and it cannot be treated by perturbation theory. In such a case the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian approach to open quantum systems has been shown to be very effective [16]. Non-Hermitian Hamiltonians have been already employed in random matrix theory [17–20], in paradigmatic models of coherent quantum transport [21,22] and in realistic open quantum systems [3,14,23–25].

The model. – In the closed 3D Anderson model, a particle hops between nearest sites of a 3D cubic lattice with $N$ sites, in the presence of on-site disorder. The Hamiltonian of the closed 3D Anderson model can be written as

$$H_0 = \sum_{i=1}^{N} E_i \langle j | j \rangle + \Omega \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} (\langle j | i \rangle + \langle i | j \rangle),$$

where the summation $\langle i,j \rangle$ runs over the nearest-neighbor sites, $E_i$ are random variables uniformly distributed in $[-W/2, +W/2]$, $W$ is a disorder parameter, and $\Omega$ is the tunneling transition amplitude. The nature of the eigenstates of the 3D Anderson model depends on the degree of disorder: for small disorder the states in the middle of the energy band are extended, while close to the band edges, for energies below the mobility edges, they are localized [26]. On increasing $W/\Omega$, the mobility edges approach one to each other and above the critical value $W/\Omega \approx 16.5$ [26], all states become localized and a global Anderson Transition (AT) occurs. In the localized regime the shape of eigenfunctions behaves as $|\psi(j)| \sim \exp(-|x_j - x_0|/\xi)$, where $x_j$ are position vectors and $\xi$ is the localization length. In this work we will disregard the effect of the mobility edges, focusing on the global AT:

$$AT \text{ at } W/\Omega \approx 16.5.$$  

Our main question is about the effect of opening on the localization properties of the eigenstates. We open the 3D Anderson model by allowing the particle to escape the system from any site into the same continuum channel. This situation of “coherent dissipation”, can be met in many realistic systems [3,6], when the wavelength of the particle in the continuum channel is comparable with the sample size. The case of only one channel in the continuum is somehow extreme, but we expect that our findings have general validity whenever more states compete to decay in the same channel. This kind of opening is different from a standard coupling to a thermal bath (for instance described by time-dependent diagonal terms in the Hamiltonian [27]), and takes into account only the particle escape from the system (dissipation). The open system is described by the effective non-Hermitian Hamiltonian [15]:

$$(H_{\text{eff}})_{ij} = (H_0)_{ij} - \frac{i}{\gamma} \sum_c A_{ij}^c (A_j^c)^* = (H_0)_{ij} - \frac{i\gamma}{2} Q_{ij},$$

where $H_0$ is the Anderson Hamiltonian, eq. (1), $A_i^c$ are the transition amplitudes from the discrete states $i$ to the continuum channels $c$. In our case we have one decay channel, $c = 1$, equal couplings $(A_i^1 = \sqrt{\gamma})$ so that $Q_{ij} = 1 \forall i, j = 1, \ldots, N$ is a full matrix. The complex eigenvalues of $H_{\text{eff}}$ can be written as, $E_r - i\frac{\gamma}{2}$, where $\Gamma_r$ are the decay widths of the states. Since the average width is given by $\gamma$ [16], the degree of resonance overlap is determined by $\kappa = \gamma/D$, where $D$ is the mean level spacing of the energy levels of $H_0$. We can regard $\kappa$ as an effective degree of opening and at $\kappa \approx 1$ [16,18] a segregation occurs, i.e. almost the entire decay width, $N\gamma$, is allocated to just one short-lived “superradiant” state, while all other $N - 1$ states become “subradiant” with a small decay width. We refer to this segregation as ST. We note that our $\kappa$ parameter bears some resemblance to the Thouless parameter $g$, which in the case of opening at the edges of the system has been shown to provide relevant information on a metal-insulator transition [28]. Here, the opening is obtained by coupling all sites to a common decay channel, and thus $\kappa$ does not reveal the sensitivity to the boundaries of the system.

The presence of disorder affects ST since $D$ depends on disorder. Indeed, for small disorder, the width of the energy band is given by $\Omega$ and $D \approx 12\Omega/N$ [1], while for large disorder, $D \approx W/N$, so that,

$$ST \text{ at } \kappa = \frac{\gamma}{D} \approx 1 \text{ with } \begin{cases} \kappa \approx 2N, & \text{if } \frac{W}{\Omega} \ll 12, \\ \kappa \approx 2N, & \text{if } \frac{W}{\Omega} \gg 12. \end{cases}$$

So, above ST ($\kappa > 1$), we have a superradiant regime, with superradiant and subradiant states, while below ST ($\kappa < 1$), all states are affected by the opening in a similar way. From eq. (4) it is clear that we can control the effective degree of opening $\kappa$ for instance by varying the strength of the disorder.

Since $Q$ is a full matrix the opening induces an effective long-range hopping among the sites, which is generally expected to destroy localization [29]. The long-range hopping can be explained as an effect of coupling via a common vacuum mode which results in the fact that the particle escaping from one site can be reabsorbed in far sites before leaving the system. This interaction mediated by the continuum, is at the origin of the ST. Thus, disorder and opening have opposing effects: while disorder tends to localize the eigenfunctions, the opening tends to delocalize them due to the induced long-range hopping.

Results. – The numerical data presented in this letter were computed using the FORTRAN code (available at http://www.netlib.org/eispack/cg.f), which perform an exact diagonalization of $H_{\text{eff}}$. In order to analyze the interplay of disorder and opening we first study the participation ratio,

$$PR = \left\langle \left[ \sum_i |\langle i | \psi \rangle|^4 \right]^{-1} \right\rangle,$$
of the eigenstates $|\psi\rangle$ of $H_{\text{eff}}$ in eq. (3), where $\langle \ldots \rangle$ stands for the average over disorder$^1$. Since we want to study properties related to the probability densities, the eigenstates $|\psi\rangle$ of $H_{\text{eff}}$ are normalized according to $\sum_i |\langle i|\psi\rangle|^2 = 1$. This normalization differs from that needed to study the non-Hermitian time evolution, which should take into account the bi-orthogonality of the eigenstates. The PR is widely used to characterize localization properties [30]: for extended states it increases proportionally to the system size, while it is independent for localized states. Note that in the following we will analyze $PR - 1$.

The interplay between disorder and opening generates different regimes, as shown in fig. 1, where we plot $\log(PR - 1)$ in the $\gamma/\Omega-W/\Omega$ plane for a system with $N = 10^3$ sites. In the same figure we also show AT (dashed curve) and ST ($\kappa = 1$ full curve). In the upper panel of fig. 1 we analyze the state with the largest width which becomes superradiant above ST, while in the lower panel we consider the other $N - 1$ states, which become subradiant above ST. As one can see super- and subradiant states behave differently under the effect of disorder: while the former do not feel AT and remain delocalized up to ST, the latter are sensitive to AT. While this different behavior can be described analytically using perturbation theory, see eq. (7) below, physically it can be explained by the relative large distance of the superradiant state from the other states in the complex energy space, resulting in a weaker dependence on disorder [15]. Below the ST, all states feel the disorder and the opening in a similar way. From fig. 1 it is also clear that the parameters which determine the nature of the eigenstates are $W/\Omega$ and the effective coupling strength to the continuum $\kappa$, eq. (4).

From fig. 1 we can distinguish three main regimes:

$-$Region I (to the left of the AT line in fig. 1): most of the states of the closed system are delocalized, and the opening does not change their extended nature. For this reason we do not distinguish between $\kappa < 1$ and $\kappa > 1$. We avoid the discussion about the effect of the opening on the mobility edges of the closed system, since we are interested in the global transition to localization at the AT. Note that for large opening and small disorder we recover the usual Dicke regime.

$-$Region II (to the right of the AT line and below the ST line in fig. 1): the states of the closed system are localized and even if the effective opening is small (we do not have superradiance), it induces hybrid states (see discussion below). It is important to note that this region disappears for large-$N$ values, since, from eq. (4) the critical ST line is $\gamma/\Omega = 12/N$ for $W/\Omega \ll 12$ and $\gamma/\Omega = W/(\Omega N)$ for $W/\Omega \gg 12$. Note that in the limit of large disorder and small opening we recover the Anderson localized regime.

$-$Region III or subradiant hybrid (to the right of the AT line and above the ST line in fig. 1): the states of the closed system are localized, but the effective opening is large and we have superradiance. This regime is very interesting since the superradiant state is fully delocalized (fig. 1, upper panel), while the subradiant states are hybrid with both localized and extended features (see discussion below and fig. 3). Note that the nature of the hybrid states in this Region and in Region II are different as explained below. The existence of this regime, which we call the subradiant hybrid regime, is the main result of this work.

A full analysis of the three regions will be presented in a future work. Here we focus on the subradiant hybrid regime, characterized by both large opening and disorder, in which one might expect no signature of localization.

In order to establish the localized or extended nature of the states, we start by analyzing how the PR scales with the system size. In fig. 2, $PR - 1$ is shown vs. $N$ for the
subradiant and the superradiant states in the subradiant hybrid regime, at fixed strength of disorder. As one can see the superradiant states (full circles) are extended, $PR \propto N$, while, surprisingly, we find that the subradiant states behave like localized states with a $PR$ independent of $N$ (open red circles). We have also checked the behavior of the $PR$ in other regions (not shown): below AT (Region I) we find that the states are extended ($PR \propto N$), as in the closed model. In Region II, we have found $PR \propto 1+\frac{1}{N}$ which would indicated extended states. In reality, in the limit $N \to \infty$, the Region II disappears, since $W/\gamma \simeq N$ and for large $N$ we cross the ST, entering the subradiant hybrid regime. We can conclude that this is a finite-size effect.

The behavior of the $PR$ is not enough to characterize the nature of the eigenstates $\psi$, it is also important to analyze their structure, for instance by means of the average density profile, defined as $\langle |\psi|^2 \rangle$, where the average ... is taken over different realizations of the disorder. In the subradiant hybrid regime the supradiant states are fully extended and are well approximated by the following expression:

$$|\text{Superradiant}\rangle \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_i |i\rangle,$$

where $|i\rangle$ are the site states of the Anderson model. The analysis of the subradiant states in the subradiant hybrid regime shows that they are hybrid [14] with an exponentially localized peak plus a constant plateau. The exponentially localized peak behaves like a localized state of the closed Anderson model (compare, respectively, solid and dashed curves in fig. 3). On the other hand, the height of the constant plateau is independent of both $W$ and $\gamma$, see fig. 3 (left upper panel), and it decreases with the system size as $1/N$, fig. 3 (right upper panel). Thus the analysis of the structure of the subradiant states reveal their hybrid nature: even if their $PR$ is size independent as for localized states, the presence of a constant plateau make them also extended. For instance, due to the presence of the plateau, the transmission through the subradiant hybrid states will not decrease exponentially with the system size, as expected for exponentially localized states. The study of the transmission through hybrid state will be investigated in a future work.

For large disorder, $W \gg \Omega$ and above ST (subradiant hybrid regime), following refs. [15,20] it is possible to obtain an analytical expression for the hybrid subradiant states:

$$|\mu\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{C_\mu}} \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\epsilon_\mu - E_j} |j^0\rangle \text{ with } \sum_{j=1}^{N} \frac{1}{\epsilon_\mu - E_j} = 0.$$  \hfill (6)
have hybrid states, with a plateau height proportional to \((\gamma/W)^2\) but independent of \(N\). The different scaling of the plateau height with the system size, is at the origin of the different scaling of the \(PR\) of the open system between Region II and the subradiant hybrid regime. Indeed for very large disorder, the hybrid states in both regions, are mainly localized on only one site with probability \(a\) and on all the other \(N - 1\) sites with probability \(b\), and we have \(\sum_i |\psi_i|^4 = a^2 + b^2(N - 1)\), with \(a + b(N - 1) = 1\). So that when \(b \propto 1/N\) (subradiant hybrid regime), the \(PR\) is independent of \(N\) in the large \(N\) limit, while, when \(b\) is independent of \(N\) (Region II) one has \(PR \sim 1 + 2bN\) in the limit \(bN \ll 1\), in agreement with the discussion given above.

A signature of the AT can also be found in the behavior of the decay widths of the subradiant states. In fig. 4 we show the decay width of both the superradiant and subradiant states as a function of the disorder. While the former is not affected by disorder up to the ST, the latter feels the disorder above the AT (note that, on increasing the disorder at fixed \(\gamma\), the effective degree of opening is decreased and the three regions are crossed). For very large disorder (Region II), all widths approach the same value, \(\gamma = 1\), which corresponds to the decay width of an isolated site. We also derived from perturbation theory in the small parameter \(1/\kappa\) an analytical expression for the average width of the subradiant states

\[
\langle \Gamma \rangle(W) \approx \langle \Gamma \rangle(W = 0) + \frac{W^2}{3N^2\gamma},
\]

which is in good agreement with numerical results, see fig. 4.

**Conclusions.** — We analyzed the coherent effects induced by the interplay of coherent dissipation and disorder. For this purpose we considered a 3D open Anderson model in which a particle can escape from any site to a common channel in the continuum. This kind of opening induces a strong long-range hopping (all-to-all) between the sites of the Anderson model. Contrary to expectations, we show that the opening does not destroy all features of Anderson localization. Remarkably, for large effective opening, where we have superradiance, we established the existence of a subradiant hybrid regime with extended superradiant states, and hybrid subradiant states (with a size-independent participation ratio). We determined, both numerically and analytically, that the subradiant states have an hybrid nature, with an exponentially localized peak and a constant plateau.

This surprising result can be only explained by the highly correlated nature of the long-range hopping present in this system. These correlations induce a ST where the coupling with the external world is taken by the superradiant state, leaving the subradiant states effectively decoupled, and thus able to preserve the localized nature of the closed system.

Finally we note that the interplay between superradiance and disorder has been also studied in a classical ensemble of interacting oscillators [31], where the superradiant state has been shown to be resistant to disorder in accordance with our findings. Let us also observe that recently in ref. [32], states with a size-independent \(PR\), have been found in a related model with Hermitian long-range hopping, thus supporting the generality of our results.

Our analysis is relevant both from an applicative point of view, in the search of Anderson localization in 3D dissipative systems (i.e. cold atoms), and from a theoretical point of view (role of long-range hopping in the metal-insulator transition).
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