

M. Squassina · C. Tarsi

## Multiple solutions for quasi-linear elliptic problems in $\mathbb{R}^2$ with exponential growth

Published online: 25 September 2007

**Erratum to: Manuscripta Math (2001)106:315–337**  
**DOI 10.1007/PL00005886**

Theorem 1 in our paper [1] does not hold in the form it was stated, since the growth estimate from below of the sequence of min–max values  $b_k$  obtained in Sect. 5, Lemma 3, contains a technical mistake. The proof of Lemma 3 fails since it relies upon erroneous application of Theorem 5, which states that for each  $1 < p < 2$  and  $R > 1$  there is a  $\vartheta = \vartheta(R) > 0$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} \left( e^{|u|^p} - 1 \right) dx \leq C_0 \|u\|^{1/\vartheta}$$

for any  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  with  $\|u\|_{1,2} = R$ . We have mistakenly applied Theorem 5 in the proof of Lemma 3 to obtain inequality (39), which is not correct: it has to be substituted with the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Omega} \left( e^{|u|^p} - 1 \right) dx &\leq \|u\|_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left( e^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}|u|^p} - 1 \right) dx \right\}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha}} + c_1 \\ &\leq \|u\|_{\alpha\beta}^{\beta} C_{\alpha,\vartheta'} R^{\frac{\alpha-1}{\alpha\vartheta'}} + c_1, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\alpha = \alpha(\vartheta)$  has been defined in the lines above (39) as a function of  $\vartheta = \vartheta(\|u\|_{1,2})$  and  $\vartheta' = \vartheta'((\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1})^{1/p} \|u\|_{1,2})$  is obtained by applying Theorem 5 to the term  $\int_{\Omega} (e^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}|u|^p} - 1) dx$  (in (39), instead, we have used the same  $\vartheta$ ). The value of  $\vartheta$  is different from the value of  $\vartheta'$ , so that the arguments that follow in the proof fail.

Nevertheless, a large part of the paper builds up correctly the machinery to implement the classical Bahri–Beresticki–Rabinowitz perturbation method to work with second order quasi-linear elliptic equations of variational type in the plane with the exponential nonlinearity  $|u|^{p-2}ue^{|u|^p} + \varphi$ , where  $1 < p < 2$ . Thus as soon as a suitable growth estimate from below for the  $b_k$ 's is available in our setting (currently no result in the literature is, to our knowledge), a multiplicity result would follow.

---

The online version of the original article can be found under doi: [10.1007/PL00005886](https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00005886).

M. Squassina: Dipartimento di Informatica, Università di Verona, Ca' Vignal 2, Strada Le Grazie 15, 37134 Verona, Italy. e-mail: marco.squassina@univr.it

C. Tarsi: Dipartimento di Matematica, Università di Milano, Via Saldini 50, 20133 Milano, Italy

Changing nonlinearity, a weaker result can be stated. Indeed, for the exponential nonlinearity  $ue^{|u|^p}$  with  $0 < p < \frac{1}{2}$ , Sugimura proved in [2] a logarithmic type estimate from below for the  $b_k$ 's, in the case of semi-linear elliptic equations. This estimate allows us to obtain a multiplicity result similar to the one claimed in [1], that can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.** *Assume that  $a_{ij}(x, s)$  and  $\varphi(x, s)$  satisfy the same hypotheses of Theorem 1 in [1] and, in addition, that there exist  $\gamma > 0$  and  $R > 0$  such that a.e. in  $\Omega$  and all  $(s, \xi)$  in  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^2$*

$$|s| \geq R \implies \sum_{i,j=1}^2 s D_s a_{ij}(x, s) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \gamma \sum_{i,j=1}^2 a_{ij}(x, s) \xi_i \xi_j .$$

For each  $p \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$  the problem

$$\begin{cases} - \sum_{i,j=1}^2 D_j (a_{ij}(x, u) D_i u) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j=1}^2 D_s a_{ij}(x, u) D_i u D_j u = ue^{|u|^p} + \varphi, & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

has a sequence  $(u_h)$  of solutions in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  such that  $f_\varphi(u_h) \rightarrow +\infty$ .

## References

1. Squassina, M., Tarsi, C.: Multiple solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic problems in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with exponential growth. *Manuscripta Math.* **106**, 315–337 (2001)
2. Sugimura, K.: Existence of infinitely many solutions for a perturbed elliptic equation with exponential growth. *Nonlinear Anal.* **22**, 277–293 (1994)